

### Home-like Workplaces: Background

A "home-like" workplace blurs the distinction between work and non-work domains through its offering of benefits, amenities, and design [1]

I-O psychologists are not contributing to the home-like workplaces discussion in an **integrated** way - we have a lot to offer [2,3]

#### Home-like Workplaces: a Dilemma

# Work is just like home: there's no reason to leave [4-6]

Recruitment potential
Engaged employees
Increased commitment



# Work is just like home: there's no reason to leave [7-9]

Managing boundaries
Encourage workaholism
Great for who?

#### Goals for the Panel







Share best practices in implementing home-like workplace benefits

Identify the role of IO expertise in conversations about home-like workplaces

Discuss future steps
practitioners and
researchers can take to
engage with home-like
workplaces

#### **Panelists**



**Dr. Cristina Banks** Interdisciplinary Center for Healthy Workplaces



Dr. Rebecca Brossoit



Dr. Haley Cobb Louisiana State University Louisiana State University



Dr. Wren Murphy Uber

### Panel Discussion

What steps can organizations take to be simultaneously more home-like and encourage employees to have a healthy relationship to work?

How is access to organizational benefits a justice and fairness issue, especially when considering a global workforce?

What steps can IOs take to advocate for and design inclusive approaches to benefits and services offered through work, both in their research and in organizations?

How can researchers and practitioners collaborate to further understand the ramifications of "home-like" workplaces?

How can and should our work in IO impact the design of benefit offerings?

## Audience Q&A

#### References

- [1] Leclercq-Vandelannoitte, A. (2021). The new paternalism? The workplace as a place to work—and to live. *Organization*, 28(6), 949–975. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/13505084211015374">https://doi.org/10.1177/13505084211015374</a>
- [2] Kossek, E. E. (2016). Managing work-life boundaries in the digital age. *Organizational Dynamics*, 45(3), 258–270. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2016.07.010">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2016.07.010</a>
- [3] Parker, S. K., Morgeson, F. P., & Johns, G. (2017). One hundred years of work design research: Looking back and looking forward. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3), 403–420. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000106">https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000106</a>
- [4] Jones, K. (2017, February 15). The Most Desirable Employee Benefits. *Harvard Business Review*. https://hbr.org/2017/02/the-most-desirable-employee-benefits
- [5] Richman, A. L., Civian, J. T., Shannon, L. L., Jeffrey Hill, E., & Brennan, R. T. (2008). The relationship of perceived flexibility, supportive work-life policies, and use of formal flexible arrangements and occasional flexibility to employee engagement and expected retention. *Community, Work & Family*, 11(2), 183–197. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/13668800802050350">https://doi.org/10.1080/13668800802050350</a>
- [6] Muse, L., Harris, S. G., Giles, W. F., & Feild, H. S. (2008). Work-life benefits and positive organizational behavior: Is there a connection? *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 29(2), 171–192. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/job.506">https://doi.org/10.1002/job.506</a>
- [7] Dumas, T. L., & Sanchez-Burks, J. (2015). The professional, the personal, and the ideal worker: Pressures and objectives shaping the boundary between life domains. *Academy of Management Annals*, *9*(1), 803–843. https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2015.1028810
- [8] Clark, M. (2023). Never not working: Why the always-on culture is bad for business, and how to fix it. Harvard Business Review Press.
- [9] Budge, S. L., Tebbe, E. N., & Howard, K. A. S. (2010). The work experiences of transgender individuals: Negotiating the transition and career decision-making processes. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, *57*(4), 377–393. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020472